

UNITED STATE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/919,501	08/28/97	o'GORMAN		s	SALK2190
_		HM12/0320		· ·	EXAMINER
STEPHEN E REITER				WILSON, M	
GRAY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1600 4365 EXECUTIVE DRIVE SAN DIEGO CA 92121				1633	16
				DATE MAILED.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/919,501 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Wilson, Michael C.

Group Art Unit 1633

O'Gorman et al

THE	PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE	: [check only a) or b)]						
	a) 🗌	expiresn	nonths from the mailing date of the final rejec	ection.					
	ь) 🗀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.							
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the pury determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.									
X	Appell period	lant's Brief is due tw for response set for	vo months from the date of the Notice rth above, whichever is later). See 37	e of Appeal filed on <u>Mar 10, 2000</u> (or w 7 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	ithin any				
Ap _l but	olicant is NO	t's response to the fi T deemed to place t	inal rejection, filed on <u>Mar 10, 200</u> the application in condition for allowan	has been considered with the following once:	effect,				
★ The proposed amendment(s):									
	□ w	ill be entered upon f	iling of a Notice of Appeal and an App	peal Brief.					
	X	they raise new issu	ues that would require further consider	ration and/or search. (See note below).					
		•	e of new matter. (See note below).	f new matter. (See note below).					
		issues for appeal.							
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
	NO	NOTE: <u>Proposed claim 12 requires that the construct is in the genome of the cell and claims 18-20 require a combination of promoters and recombinase which were not previously required.</u>							
	⊔ A - -	pplicant's response	has overcome the following rejection(s	s):					
	New! sepa	ly proposed or amen rate, timely filed ame	nded claimsendment cancelling the non-allowable o	would be allowable if submit claims.	ted in a				
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguements are based on the proposed amendment to the claims. Applicants have not provided new arguements. Therefore, the claims remain rejected for reasons of record including an enablement rejection, (see below)								
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
X	For p	ourposes of Appeal,	(see attached written explanation, if any):						
	Clain	ns allowed:							
		ns objected to:							
	The	proposed drawing co	orrection filed on	_ has has not been approved by the E	xaminer.				
	Note	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).							
X	Othe	r 112/2nd rejection	on and 103 rejections.						
				JOHN L. LEGUYADER	INER				

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600
Part of Paper No.

16